arXiv:1610.02603v1 [math.AP] 9 Oct 2016

EXISTENCE OF A HIGHEST WAVE IN A FULLY DISPERSIVE TWO-WAY SHALLOW WATER MODEL

MATS EHRNSTRÖM, MATHEW A. JOHNSON, AND KYLE M. CLAASSEN

Abstract. We consider the existence of periodic traveling waves in a bidirectional Whitham equation, combining the full two-way dispersion relation from the incompressible Euler equations with a canonical shallow water nonlinearity. Of particular interest is the existence of a highest, cusped, traveling wave solution, which we obtain as a limiting case at the end of the main bifurcation branch of 2π-periodic traveling wave solutions. Unlike the unidirectional Whitham equation, containing only one branch of the full Euler dispersion relation, where such a highest wave behaves like $|x|^{1/2}$ near its crest, the cusped waves obtained here behave like $|x \log |x||$. Although the linear operator involved in this equation can be easily represented in terms of an integral operator, it maps continuous functions out of the Hölder and Lipschitz scales of function spaces by introducing logarithmic singularities. Since the nonlinearity is also of higher order than that of the unidirectional Whitham equation, several parts of our proofs and results deviate from those of the corresponding unidirectional equation, with the analysis of the logarithmic singularity being the most subtle component. This paper is part of a longer research program for understanding the interplay between nonlinearities and dispersion in the formation of large-amplitude waves and their singularities.

1. Introduction

Given the great complexity of the Euler equations, which fundamentally describe the flow of an incompressible, inviscid fluid over an impenetrable bottom, it has long been considered advantageous to find simpler models that approximate the dynamics of the free surface in particular asymptotic regimes. Arguably, the most famous such approximation is the well-studied KdV equation which, in dimensional form, can be written as

$$u_t + \sqrt{gh_0}\left(1 + \frac{1}{6}h_0^2\partial_x^2\right)u_x + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\frac{g}{h_0}}uu_x = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

Date: October 11, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35 (primary), 35B65, 37K50, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Highest wave; singular solutions; Whitham equation; water waves.

ME was supported by grant nos. 231668 and 250070 from the Research Council of Norway; MJ was supported by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS-1614785 and DMS-1211183; KC was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1211183.

where here x denotes the spatial variable, t denotes the temporal variable, and $u = u(x,t)$ is a real-valued function describing the fluid surface; further, g denotes the constant due to gravitational acceleration and h_0 denotes the undisturbed fluid depth. The KdV equation is well known to describe unidirectional propagation of small amplitude, long wave phenomena in a channel of water, most notably periodic and solitary waves, but is also known to lose relevance for short and intermediate wavelength regimes where wave features such as wave breaking and surface singularities may be observed in other equations.

Heuristically, this may be explained as follows: if one linearizes the KdV equation about the flat state u=0, a straightforward calculation implies that this linearized equation admits plane wave solutions of the form $e^{ik(x-ct)}$ provided that

$$c_{\mathrm{KdV}}(k) = \sqrt{gh_0}\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}(kh_0)^2\right),$$

while performing the analogous calculations on the Euler equations one finds the linearized system admits such plane wave solutions provided that $c^2_{\mathrm{Euler}}(k) = \frac{g\tanh(kh_0)}{k}$, that is

$$c_{\mathrm{Euler},\pm}(k) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{g\tanh(kh_0)}{k}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that while the KdV has only one phase speed, the Euler equations has *two* branches of the phase speed c. This is a reflection of the fact that the Euler equation generically supports *bidirectional* propagation of waves, while the KdV equations is derived under the assumption of *unidirectional wave propagation*. Concentrating on the positive branch of $c_{\mathrm{Euler}}(k)$, the connection between these two phase speeds is given through the expansion

$$c_{\mathrm{Euler},+}(k) = c_{\mathrm{KdV}}(k) + \mathcal{O}(|kh_0|^4),$$

so that the KdV equation can be seen to approximate to second order the positive branch of the Euler phase speed in the long-wave regime $|kh_0| \ll 1$. In fact, solutions of (1.1) are known to exist and converge to those of the water wave problem at the order of $\mathcal{O}(h_0^2k^2)$ during an appropriate time interval; see [18, Section 7.4.5] for details. Outside of this regime, however, it is clear that the KdV phase speed is a poor approximation of that for the Euler equations and hence the KdV equation should not be expected to describe short, or even intermediate, wavelength phenomena.

To better describe short wave phenomena, Whitham suggested to replace the linear phase speed in the KdV equation with the *exact, unidirectional* phase speed from the Euler equations. This leads to the nonlocal evolution equation

$$u_t + \mathcal{M}u_x + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\frac{g}{h_0}}uu_x = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where here $\mathcal{M}$ is a Fourier multiplier defined by its symbol via

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}f}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{g\tanh(h_0k)}{k}}\ \hat{f}(k).$$

Denoting $D = \frac{1}{i}\partial_x$, we can thus formally write $\mathcal{M} = \sqrt{\frac{g\tanh(h_0D)}{D}}$. As (1.3) combines the full unidirectional phase speed from the Euler equations with the canonical shallow water nonlinearity, Whitham advocated that (1.3), now referred to as the *Whitham equation*, should admit periodic and solitary waves while at the same time allowing for wave breaking and surface singularities. Much recent activity has verified these claims for the Whitham equation: equation (1.3) admits both solitary [11] and periodic [12, 13] waves, but also features wave breaking [8, 15] and a highest cusped traveling wave solution [14]. Notably, the cusped solutions in [14] were shown to exist through a global bifurcation argument, continuing off a local branch of small amplitude periodic traveling waves, and were shown to be smooth away from their highest point (the crest) and behave like $|x|^{1/2}$ near the crest. It should also be noted that the Whitham equation (1.3) features the same kind of Benjamin–Feir instability [17, 22] as the Euler equations; see also [16] where additional effects of constant vorticity and surface tension were considered. We refer to [5] for a study on the symmetry and decay properties of solitary wave solutions of (1.3), as well as [2, 10] where the associated Cauchy problem is studied.

Despite the success of the Whitham equation (1.3), there are still water wave phenomena that it does not capture. For example, it is known that the Euler equations admits high-frequency (non-modulational) instabilities of small amplitude periodic traveling waves: see [19, 9] and references therein. In [9], however, it was shown that the unidirectional nature of the Whitham equation prohibits such instabilities from manifesting. Indeed, there it was argued that the bidirectionality of the Euler equations was the key underlying feature allowing for the possibility of such instabilities. Furthermore, the Euler equations are known to admit peaked waves, i.e., traveling wave solutions with bounded but discontinuous derivatives at their highest point, with a corner at each crest with an interior angle of 120^o [1]. The Whitham equation (1.3) instead features cusped waves, having exactly half* the regularity of the highest waves of the Euler equations [14]. In light of (1.2) it is tempting to expect that this is not due to some bad modeling aspect of the Whitham equation, but to its unidirectionality. *The goal of this paper is to analyze the steady periodic waves of the corresponding bidirectional Whitham equation, and to see how this influences the existence and features of a possible highest wave for such an equation.* In particular, is it peaked as for the Euler equations? Answering that question is part of a longer research program for understanding the interplay between nonlinearities and

*Indeed, the wave constructed in [14] was shown to have optimal global regularity $C^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$.

(nonlocal) dispersion in the formation of large-amplitude waves and their singularities.

In this paper, we consider the following full-dispersion shallow water wave model, given here in dimensional form:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t = -\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{gh_0}}\mathcal{K}u_x - \sqrt{\dfrac{g}{h_0}}\,(\eta u)_x \\ u_t = -\sqrt{\dfrac{g}{h_0}}\,(\eta_x + uu_x)\,, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{K}$ is a Fourier multiplier defined by its symbol via

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}f}(k) = \frac{g\tanh(kh_0)}{k}\ \hat{f}(k),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} := \frac{g\tanh(h_0 D)}{D}$, where as above $D = \frac{1}{i}\partial_x$. The system (1.4) was derived in [20] from the Euler equations. In the modeling, η is the fluid height, $u = \varphi_x$ with $\varphi(x,t) = \varphi(x,\eta(x,t),t)$ denoting the trace of the velocity potential at the free surface. An easy check shows that the dispersion relation for (1.4) agrees *exactly* with that of the full Euler equation. In particular, (1.4) is a bidirectional equation with two branches of the linear phase speed given in (1.2). The model (1.4) also appeared in [23, Section 6.3], where it was described as a linearly well-posed regularization of the linearly ill-posed, yet completely integrable, Kaup system

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t = -\sqrt{gh_0}\left(1 + \dfrac{1}{3}h_0^2\partial_x^2\right)u_x - \sqrt{\dfrac{g}{h_0}}(\eta u)_x = 0 \\ u_t = -\sqrt{\dfrac{g}{h_0}}\,(\eta_x + uu_x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, one can see that the phase speed for the Kaup system agrees to $\mathcal{O}(|kh_0|^2)$ with that of (1.4). Other, and more involved, full-dispersion equations, are given in [18] and [24].

As we will see below, small amplitude periodic traveling wave solutions of (1.4) can be shown, at particular wave speeds, to bifurcate from the trivial solution $(\eta, u) = (0, 0)$ through the use of elementary bifurcation theory and a Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction. By numerically continuing this local branch of solutions, we observe that the waves approach a highest wave, which at lower resolutions does indeed seem to be peaked. From a more detailed analysis, however, it appears that unlike the full Euler equations the highest wave of (1.4) is still cusped at its highest point. To understand this more rigorously, we justify the numerical observations through the use of a global bifurcation argument in the spirit of [6, 13]. By combining this global argument with a priori estimates on a wave of extreme height we establish a highest, cusped, almost everywhere smooth, traveling wave solution of (1.4), which behaves as as $|x\log|x||$ near the crest. The introduction

of bidirectionality therefore has a twofold effect on the highest wave: it increases (doubles) its regularity, but it also introduces a logarithmic factor such that the derivative is not any more bounded but blows up logarithmically. The latter can be explained by the functional analysis of Fourier multiplier operators of integer order, see Subsection 2.3.

We remark that this paper is an extension of the recent work [14], where the authors performed an analogous study on the unidirectional Whitham equation. The integral kernel associated with the Fourier multiplier $\mathcal{K}$, however, introduces novel difficulties in the analysis coming from its logarithmic blow-up at low frequencies; see Lemma 2.2(iii) below. As a consequence, it is not possible to capture the global regularity of the highest wave in terms of classical Hölder, or even Hölder–Zygmund, spaces. We believe our analysis sheds some light on a more general existence theory of extreme waves associated to dispersive nonlocal equations, and this is planned to be reported on in the future.

The outline for our investigation is as follows. In Section 2 we lay out the analytic preliminaries. Most importantly, we perform a detailed study of the integral kernel K associated to the Fourier multiplier $\mathcal{K}$ above, together with its 2π-periodic periodization. Due to the fact that the integral kernel associated to $\mathcal{K}$ is known in closed form we are able to easily describe the singular nature of the kernel K near zero-frequency, together with its monotonicity properties. Mark that the corresponding analysis in [14] for the unidirectional Whitham equation was significantly more complicated, due to the fact that integral kernel associated to $\mathcal{M}$ is not known in such a clean form[†]. In Section 3 we report on a numerical investigation of the global bifurcation diagram for the profile equation associated to (1.4). The numerics are then used to motivate the analytical theory in the remainder of the paper. In Section 4 we prove some a priori estimates and lemmas concerning periodic traveling wave solutions of (1.4) of maximum height. Finally, the local and global bifurcation analysis is performed in Section 5, where it is shown that there is a sequence of waves converging to a logarithmically cusped wave of greatest height, thus establishing our main result Theorem 5.9.

2. Preliminaries

We consider 2π-periodic solutions of the full-dispersion, bidirectional shallow water system (1.4). In non-dimensional form, they read as

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t = -\mathcal{K}u_x - (\eta u)_x \\ u_t = -\eta_x - uu_x \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

[†]Indeed, in [14], using the theory of completely monotone functions, the authors provide the first closed form expression for the integral kernel associated to $\mathcal{M}$, although their expression is not as explicit as that for the integral kernel associated to $\mathcal{K}$.



FIGURE 1. A plot of the cubic function $N(\varphi)$ for a given $c > 0$. Of key importance is the locally quadratic nature of N near its first positive critical point γ.

and, with slight abuse of notation, the operator $\mathcal{K}$ is now a Fourier multiplier defined by its symbol via

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}f}(k) = \frac{\tanh(k)}{k}\ \hat{f}(k), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \mathcal{K} := \frac{\tanh(D)}{D}. \tag{2.2}$$

Precisely, (2.1) is obtained from (1.4) via the rescaling

$$t \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{h_0}{g}}\ t, \quad x \mapsto h_0\ x.$$

Our primary concern is the existence of traveling wave solutions of (2.1), which are solutions of the form $(\psi, \varphi)(x,t) = (\eta, u)(x - ct)$ where, again abusing notation, the profiles φ and ψ satisfy the nonlocal system

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}\varphi_x + (\psi(\varphi - c))_x = 0 \\ &\psi_x = c\varphi_x - \varphi\varphi_x \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both equations, one sees that localized solutions must satisfy the scalar profile equation

$$\mathcal{K}\varphi = \varphi\left(c - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\right)(c - \varphi). \tag{2.3}$$

More generally, the Galilean transformation $(\varphi, c) \mapsto (\varphi, c) + (\lambda, \lambda)$ can be used to eliminate one of the constants of integration, although not both. We remark that a theory for a class of general nonlinearities is planned in a future investigation.

Factoring the third-order polynomial in (2.3) near its critical point at $\varphi = \gamma$, our equation reads

$$\mathcal{K}\varphi = N(\varphi) \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$N(\varphi) = N(\gamma) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi - \gamma - \sqrt{3}c)\,(\varphi - \gamma)^2\,, \tag{2.5}$$

defined by the right-hand side of (2.3) and

$$\gamma := c\left(1 - \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

being the smallest root of $N'(\varphi) = 0$; see Figure 1. In particular, observe that $N(\varphi)$ has no critical points for $\varphi < \gamma$, and is strictly increasing on the same half-line. It increases through the origin to its local maximum at $\varphi = \gamma$. As the forthcoming analysis will show, the number γ will be the maximum of the highest wave to be, and the quadratic nature of N near $\varphi = \gamma$ will cause the resulting singularity at the peak.

We devote the remainder of this section to establishing key properties of the Fourier multiplier $\mathcal{K}$ as well as to set up the functional framework used in our analysis.

2.1. **The integral operator $\mathcal{K}$.** To make sense of the operator $\mathcal{K}$, we utilize the Fourier transform. Throughout, the operator $\mathcal{F}$ will denote the extension to the space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ of the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \exp(-i\xi x)\, dx$$

on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, with inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathcal{F}(f)(-\xi)$. Observe that with this normalization, $\mathcal{F}$ defines a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$. The operator $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ may then be understood via the inverse Fourier transform representation

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\tanh(\xi)}{\xi}\hat{f}(\xi)\right)(x).$$

By the convolution theorem, one can equivalently introduce the integral kernel

$$K(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\tanh(\xi)}{\xi}\right)(x) \tag{2.6}$$

and define the action of $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ by convolution with K, that is,

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) = K * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x-y)f(y)\, dy.$$

By duality, this action can be extended to any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

In the forthcoming analysis, we will utilize several positivity, monotonicity, and asymptotic properties of the kernel K. To aid in this description, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.1. *Let $0 \leqslant a < b \leqslant \infty$. A function $g : (a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called* completely monotone *if it is of class C^∞ and*

$$(-1)^n g^{(n)}(\lambda) \geq 0 \tag{2.7}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and all $\lambda \in (a,b)$.

A proof of that a general class of kernels, including K, are completely monotone on $(0,\infty)$ can be found in [14], and is due to E. Wahlén. In our case, K is explicitly known, and the complete monotonicity follows directly. Before stating this result, we make the following convention. Given any real-valued functions f and g, we say that $f \lesssim g$, or $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leqslant Cg(x)$ for all x in the domain of

interest. If no specific domain is indicated, the statement is understood to be globally valid. The opposite relation $\gtrsim$ is defined analogously, and we write $f \eqsim g$ when $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$. In any chain of inequalities, we will also feel free to denote by C harmless constants with possibly different values.

Lemma 2.2. *The integral kernel K is given explicitly by*

$$K(x) = 2\log\left|\coth(\frac{\pi x}{4})\right|,$$

and is completely monotone on $(0,\infty)$. In particular,

(i) *K is real-valued, even, strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, and satisfies*

$$\|K\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \mathcal{F}(K)(0) = 1.$$

(ii) *$K \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$, and for any $s_0 \in (0,\pi/2)$ and $n \geqslant 0$, one has*

$$|\partial_x^n K(x)| \lesssim \exp(-s_0|x|),$$

uniformly for all $x \geqslant 1$.

(iii) *K has the canonical representation*

$$K(x) = -2\log\left|\frac{\pi x}{4}\right| + K_{reg}(x), \tag{2.8}$$

with $K_{reg} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ being the regular part of K. As $x \to 0$, one has the asymptotic expansion $K_{\mathrm{reg}}(x) = \frac{1}{24}\pi^2 x^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^4)$, which is valid under term-wise differentiation.

Proof. The explicit formula for the Fourier transform can be found, for instance, in [3, Section 5.5.4][‡] or [21, Section 1.7]. It immediately follows that K is completely monotone on $(0,\infty)$, and specifically the properties given in (i) are immediate. Concerning (ii), the function $\xi \mapsto \frac{\tanh\xi}{\xi}$ is analytic in the strip $\mathbb{R}\times(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$ in the complex plane. By shifting contours and appealing to Cauchy's integral theorem, it can then be shown that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tanh(x)}{x} e^{i\xi x} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tanh(x+is_0)}{x+is_0} e^{i\xi(x+is_0)} dx$$

for all $s_0 \in (0,\pi/2)$, from which exponential decay follows from the integrability of $\frac{\tanh(x+is_0)}{x+is_0} e^{i\xi x}$; see [14] for details in a closely related context.

To prove (iii), because K is smooth outside of the origin it is enough to establish the representation (2.8) for $|x| \ll 1$. But there it follows from the analytic expansions of $\cosh(x)$ and $\sinh(x)$ when combined with rudimentary properties of the logarithm. The asymptotic formula for K_{reg} is obtained via the same expansion. □

[‡]In [3], the author provides formulas only up to multiplicative constants. In this case, the constant can be found by enforcing the requirement that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x)dx = 1$.

2.2. **The operator $\mathcal{K}$ on periodic functions.** As our interest lies in periodic solutions of (2.4), we now describe how $\mathcal{K}$ acts on periodic functions. Since K lies in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, given any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ that is 2π-periodic, we can write

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} K(x-y+2\pi k) \right) f(y)dy =: \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_p(x-y)f(y)dy.$$

By Lemma 2.2, the periodized kernel K_p is readily seen to converge absolutely and to admit the Fourier series expansion

$$K_p(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\tanh(n)}{n} \exp(inx).$$

Consequently, the convolution theorem guarantees that $\mathcal{K}$ acts on 2π-periodic functions in the same way as on functions on the line, namely as

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \hat{f}(n) \left(\frac{\tanh(n)}{n} \right) \exp(inx),$$

for any 2π-periodic function or tempered distribution f.

Lemma 2.3. *The periodic integral kernel K_p is completely monotone on the half-period $(0,\pi)$. Moreover:*

(i) *K_p is even, strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}\setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and satisfies*

$$\|K_p\|_{L^1(-\pi,\pi)} = 1.$$

(ii) *K_p is smooth on $\mathbb{R}\setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.*

(iii) *K has the canonical representation*

$$K_p(x) = -2\log\left|\frac{\pi x}{4}\right| + K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(x), \tag{2.9}$$

with $K_{p,reg} \in C^\infty(-\pi,\pi)$ being the regular part of K_p.

Remark 2.4. *It follows from the strict positivity of K_p that any periodic solution of (2.4) that attains $\varphi(x_0) = 0$ for some x_0 is either trivially zero, or must change signs.*

Remark 2.5. *The fact that K_p is completely monotone on $(0,\pi)$ follows immediately from* [14, Proposition 3.2]*, where the authors used Bernstein's theorem to show that the periodization of an even, integrable function on $\mathbb{R}$ that is completely monotone on $(0,\infty)$ is itself completely monotone on a half-period. Nevertheless, here we provide a more direct proof relying simply on the complete monotonicity of K and the decay of it and its derivatives.*

Proof. We observe first that the periodized kernel K_P inherits its parity and positivity directly from the kernel K studied in the previous section. Recall also that, with the origin as the sole exception, the kernel K and

all its derivatives are smooth with exponential decay. For K_P as in the proposition we then have for any fixed $x \in (0, \pi)$

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^n \partial_x^n K_p(x) &= (-1)^n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} K^{(n)}(x + 2k\pi) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \Big(K^{(n)}(x + 2k\pi) + K^{(n)}(x - 2(k+1)\pi) \Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

If $n = 2m$ is even, it is clear from the positivity of $K^{(2m)}$,which is even, that $K_p^{(2m)}$ is positive as well. So assume that $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, and let $a_k = x + 2k\pi$, $b_k = x - 2(k + 1)\pi$. Then $K^{(2m+1)}(a_k) < 0$, whereas $K^{(2m+1)}(b_k) > 0$, for all $x \in (0, \pi)$ and all integers $k \geqslant 0$, by the complete monotonicity of K. We thus want

$$|K^{(2m+1)}(a_k)| > |K^{(2m+1)}(b_k)|.$$

By the evenness of $K^{(2m)}$, we have $|K^{(2m+1)}(\zeta)| = |K^{(2m+1)}(-\zeta)|$ for any $\zeta \neq 0$. And since $K^{(2m+2)}$ is positive, $|\zeta| \mapsto |K^{(2m+1)}(|\zeta|)|$ is furthermore a strictly decreasing function of $|\zeta|$, so that

$$|a_k| < (k + 1/2)2\pi < |b_k|$$

guarantees that $|K^{(2m+1)}(a_k)| > |K^{(2m+1)}(b_k)|$. Hence, the sum in (2.10) is strictly positive for all $x \in (0, \pi)$, thus verifying complete monotonicity on the half-period $(0, \infty)$.

Properties (i) and (ii) follow from the evenness, positivity, and decay of K established in Lemma 2.2 above. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}K_p(x) &= K(x) + \sum_{k\neq 0} K(x + 2k\pi) \\ &= -\log(\pi x) + K_{\mathrm{reg}}(x) + \sum_{k\neq 0} K(x + 2k\pi),\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in (0, \pi)$, which gives the representation formula for K_p. □

2.3. **Functional-analytic framework: Hölder and Zygmund spaces.** Before we address the existence of solutions of (2.4), we describe the functional-analytic framework used throughout this work. In principle, we wish to work on a space of functions capable of capturing an appropriate scale of smoothness, while at the same time behaving well under the action of Fourier multipliers. It turns out that such a space is given by the Hölder (more precisely, Zygmund) spaces, which we now briefly describe.

For $0 < \alpha < 1$ we define the space $C^s(\mathbb{S})$ of α-Hölder continuous functions on the unit circle $\mathbb{S}$ to consist of all continuous, 2π-periodic functions u such that

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \lesssim |x - y|^\alpha$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and we equip $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha}, \qquad \alpha \in (0,1).$$

For $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ we take $C^k(\mathbb{S})$ to denote all k-times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{S}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S})} := \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left\|\partial_x^j u\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S})}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$

If then $s = k + \alpha$ for some $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ we define $C^s(\mathbb{R})$ to be the set of all functions $u \in C^k(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\partial_x^k u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$, and we equip this space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C^s(\mathbb{S})} := \|u\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S})} + \|u\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})}.$$

While the Hölder spaces provide a quantitative measurement of the modulus of continuity of a function, it is not immediately clear how such spaces behave under the action of Fourier multipliers. Thankfully, Hölder spaces have a particularly nice characterization, similar to that of the Lebesgue or Sobolev spaces, in terms of Littlewood–Paley theory. Indeed, if we consider the partition of unity

$$1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(n)^2$$

with ψ_j supported on $2^j \leqslant |n| < 2^{j+1}$ and $\psi_j(n) = \psi_1(2^{1-j}n)$ for $j \geqslant 1$, and on $|n| \leqslant 2$ when $j = 0$, then Littlewood-Paley theory gives the following.

Proposition 2.6. [25] *If $u \in C^s(\mathbb{S})$ for some $s \geqslant 0$, then*

$$\sup_j 2^{js} \left\|\psi_j^2(D)u\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S})} < \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Furthermore, (2.11) *guarantees $u \in C^s(\mathbb{S})$ for non-integer values of s.*

It follows that as long as s is not an integer, the Hölder spaces can be characterized completely by Fourier series, and hence behave nicely under the action of general Fourier multipliers (for more details on this and other statements in section, we refer the reader to [25, Chap. 17]). To state this precisely, introduce periodic Zygmund spaces $C_*^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \geqslant 0$, consisting of all continuous functions u on $\mathbb{S}$ such that (2.11) holds. For each $s \geqslant 0$ we equip $C_*^s(\mathbb{S})$ with the obvious norm

$$\|u\|_{C_*^s(\mathbb{S})} := \sup_j 2^{js} \left\|\psi_j^2(D)u\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S})}$$

and note that, under this norm, the Zygmund spaces are Banach spaces and that

$$C^s(\mathbb{S}) = C_*^s(\mathbb{S}) \text{ if } s \in (0,\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}, \qquad C^k(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow C_*^k(\mathbb{S}) \text{ if } s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The next result asserts that Fourier multipliers act on the Zygmund spaces in much the same way as they act on Sobolev spaces.

Proposition 2.7. [25] *Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that, for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\left|\partial_\xi^k f(\xi)\right| \lesssim (1+|\xi|)^{m-k}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f(D) \in \mathcal{L}\left(C_*^{s+m}(\mathbb{S}), C_*^s(\mathbb{S})\right)$ for all $s \geqslant 0$.

Now, if $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ with $\alpha > 1/2$ one has that

$$f(x) \equiv \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k)\exp(ikx) \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty;$$

in particular, the Fourier series of f converges absolutely for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\xi \mapsto \tanh(\xi)/\xi$ is smooth with

$$\left|\partial_\xi^k \left(\frac{\tanh(\xi)}{\xi}\right)\right| \lesssim (1+|\xi|)^{-1-k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{K} : C_*^s(\mathbb{S}) \to C_*^{s+1}(\mathbb{S}) \quad \text{for all } s \geqslant 0. \tag{2.12}$$

The fact that $\mathcal{K}$ has a negative *integer* order presents an interesting challenge in the forthcoming analysis, especially in regard to the global regularity of solutions of (2.4). Indeed, observe that if $f \in C^0(\mathbb{S}) \subset C_*^0(\mathbb{S})$ then the function $\mathcal{K}f$ is only guaranteed to belong to $C_*^1(\mathbb{S})$, and hence may not be continuously differentiable or even Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{S}$. We will return to this point at the end of Section 4.

3. Numerical Observations

Our aim is to establish the existence of a cusped traveling wave solution of the nonlocal profile equation (2.4). Such a solution will be shown to exist through the construction of a global bifurcation curve of traveling wave solutions with fixed period, the end of which will be a logarithmically cusped wave. A similar analysis was recently performed on the unidirectional Whitham equation (1.3). That series of papers started as a theoretical and numerical investigation of the local [12] and global [13] bifurcation of traveling wave solutions, and ended with the establishing of a highest, cusped, wave in the recent investigation [14]. Just as the numerical investigations in [12, 13] served as a starting point for the rigorous search for cusped solutions of (1.3), the purpose of this section is to provide analogous numerical findings for the equation (2.4). We thereby hope to motivate the analytical theory by pointing out some key features of solutions along the global bifurcation branch that will be central to our later analysis, as well as some that are conjectures that have not yet been proved analytically.

In Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.3 we prove the existence of a local and global branch, respectively, of small-amplitude, 2π-periodic even and one-sided monotone solutions $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ of (2.4) with wave speed $c(\varepsilon)$. These bifurcate from the trivial solution when $c_0^2 = \tanh(1)$, and may be numerically approximated through the use of a spectral cosine collocation method



FIGURE 2. A numerical approximation of the bifurcation branch of even, one-sided monotone, 2π-periodic solutions of (2.4) with wave speed c. A zoom is provided near the turning point.

which will be outlined in Appendix A. By the discussion at the beginning of Section 2, we expect this bifurcation curve to continue to a highest wave having maximum height at $\varphi = \gamma$. Here, we briefly present some of the numerical calculations performed using the methods described in Appendix A.

Figure 2 depicts the bifurcation branch starting at (c_0, φ_0), along with a close-up of the turning point and the end of the bifurcation curve, which was found using the condition that $\varphi = \gamma$. The wave speed decreases initially, indicating a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation that will be rigorously established in Proposition 5.1. A further observation is that the wave speed $c(\varepsilon)$ along the global bifurcation curve is contained in a compact subinterval $(0, 1)$. This will be a key element in characterizing the global structure of the bifurcation curve, in particular when showing that it does not form a closed loop, as well as when demonstrating that the limiting wave at the end of the bifurcation curve is nontrivial, see Lemmas 5.4 and 5.8.

Solutions φ of the profile equation (2.4) with wave speed c along the bifurcation curve are depicted in Figure 3. The increasing amplitude of the waves corresponds to moving farther up the global bifurcation branch in Figure 2. The small-amplitude waves are perturbations of a multiple of $\cos(x)$, a fact that is consistent with the bifurcation formulas derived in Proposition 5.1. As one continues along the curve, however, the solutions become increasingly nonlinear and the local theory from Proposition 5.1



FIGURE 3. Four different numerical profiles are shown along the bifurcation branch from Figure 2.

does not yield any predictions. From the numerical calculations we can nevertheless make some observations also for large amplitudes. First, it appears that solutions along the global bifurcation curve depicted in Figure 2 are smooth and strictly increasing on a half-period with unique critical points on $[-\pi, \pi)$ given at $x = -\pi$ (minimum) and at $x = 0$ (maximum). That solutions along the bifurcation curve indeed admit such a nodal pattern is established in Theorem 4.2 below.

Next, we observe from Figure 3 that solutions become progressively steeper at their global maximum as the end of the bifurcation branch in Figure 2 is approached. In particular, it appears that the derivative of the limiting wave blows up at $x = 0$, corresponding to the limiting wave having a singularity at that point. While the smoothness away from $x = 0$ will be established in Lemma 4.1, the behavior at the crest is more subtle. Whitham reasoned in [26, p.479] that if $K_p(x)$ were to blow up like $|x|^{-q}$ at $x = 0$ for some $q > 0$, then a rudimentary scaling analysis would suggest that the associated solution would behave like $\gamma - \varphi(x) \eqsim |x|^{1+\delta}$ with $\delta = -q$. Since K_p has a *logarithmic* blowup at $x = 0$, however, such a scaling analysis is inadequate and a more delicate investigation is needed. A detailed investigation of the singularity at $x = 0$ of the limiting wave is the subject of Lemma 4.3 and the main regularity result, Theorem 4.4, below.

4. A priori properties of solutions $\varphi \leqslant \gamma$

We now study periodic solutions of (2.4) in an appropriate subspace of $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ with $\alpha \in (0,1)$. By a solution of (2.4) we shall mean a 2π-periodic and continuous function that satisfies the equation pointwise. In our search for a highest wave, we will begin in Section 5 below by first constructing small amplitude periodic traveling wave solutions of (2.4) via a local bifurcation argument. These small amplitude solutions will then be continued into a global curve of large amplitude solutions, eventually terminating into a highest wave with a cusp. As a first step then, we begin by studying a priori properties of solutions with $\varphi < \gamma$ uniformly in x, including in particular the small amplitude solutions constructed via the local theory. We end with an a priori estimate on even, nondecreasing solutions which achieve the maximum height γ at their crests, showing in particular that such solutions cannot be continuously differentiable, or even Lipschitz at $x = 0$, and studying the global regularity of such a wave.

We start by noting that there are exactly three curves of trivial solutions of (2.4), namely,

$$c \mapsto 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c \mapsto \Gamma_\pm(c) := \frac{3c \pm \sqrt{8+c^2}}{2}.$$

The latter two are reflections of each other around the diagonal $\varphi = c$, since the map

$$(\varphi, c) \mapsto -(\varphi, c)$$

describes a bijection between solutions with positive and negative wave speed. *For that reason, it is enough to restrict our attention to* $c \geqslant 0$. In particular, we shall primarily be concerned with pairs (φ, c) such that $(\sup \varphi, c)$ lies in the area enclosed by $c = 0$, $c = 1$, $\sup \varphi = 0$ and $\sup \varphi = \gamma$. The curve Γ_+ is outside of this domain and will therefore not be relevant in our analysis. The curve Γ_-, however, crosses $c = 0$ at $\varphi = -\sqrt{2}$ and the line of zero solutions at $c = 1$ (whereafter it reaches $\varphi = \gamma$ at $c \approx \frac{3}{2}$). We will have to deal with this fact in our limiting argument.

Lemma 4.1. *For all solutions* φ *of* (2.4)*, one has the uniform estimate*

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty \lesssim 1 + c.$$

Furthermore, solutions of (2.4) *are smooth on any open set where* $\varphi(x) < \gamma$.

Proof. Lemma 2.2 implies that $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{L}(L^\infty(\mathbb{S}))$, with unit operator norm. Rewriting (2.4) as

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\varphi^3 = \mathcal{K}\varphi - c^2\varphi + \tfrac{3c}{2}\varphi^2,$$

we see that either $\varphi \equiv 0$ or else

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}^2 - \tfrac{3c}{2}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} - (1+c^2) \leqslant 0.$$



FIGURE 4. The global bifurcation diagram obtained in Theorem 5.3. The solid blue lines indicate solutions, and the red upper bounds on φ. The solution curves $\Gamma_\pm$ and the horizontal axis correspond to constant solutions, whereas $\mathcal{R}$ is the global bifurcation curve leading to the highest wave. All solutions studied in this paper are confined to the region enclosed by the lines $\max\varphi = 0$, $\max\varphi = \gamma$ and $c = 1$. The transcritical bifurcation at $c = 1$ and the a priori bound on the wavespeed (established in Remark 5.2 and Lemma 5.8, respectively) exclude solutions branching off to zero as in the picture.

In either case, it follows that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \frac{3c + \sqrt{8 + 17c^2}}{2} \lesssim 1 + c,$$

as claimed.

To prove smoothness, assume first that $\max_x \varphi(x) < \gamma$. Recall that γ is the smallest root of $N'(z) = 0$, whence the inverse function theorem guarantees the existence of a smooth function N^{-1} such that

$$N^{-1}N(\varphi) = \varphi \qquad \text{for} \qquad m_1 \leqslant \varphi \leqslant m_2 < \gamma.$$

Since $\mathcal{K} : C^s_*(\mathbb{S}) \to C^{s+1}_*(\mathbb{S})$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the Nemytskii operator

$$\varphi \mapsto N^{-1}(\mathcal{K}\varphi) \tag{4.1}$$

maps $C^s_*(\mathbb{S})$ into $C^{s+1}_*(\mathbb{S})$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow C^0_*(\mathbb{S})$ is now a given solution with $\max_x \varphi(x) < \gamma$, it follows by induction that φ is in fact smooth.

Now, if $\varphi(x) < \gamma$ in an open ball $B_{\varepsilon_0}(x_0)$, we write $\varphi = \varphi\psi + \varphi(1 - \psi)$ for a smooth function ψ with $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_{\varepsilon'}(x_0)$ for a slightly smaller

$\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, and $\mathrm{supp}(\psi) \Subset B_\varepsilon(x_0)$. The term $\varphi\psi$ has the same regularity as $\varphi|_{B_\varepsilon}$, globally on $\mathbb{S}$. As what concerns the second term, we note that

$$K_p(x-y)\varphi(y)(1-\psi(y)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y \in B_{\varepsilon'}(x_0) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $K_p(x-y)$ is smooth for $x - y \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, the convolution $\mathcal{K}\varphi(1-\psi)(x)$ is smooth for $x \in B_{\varepsilon'}(x_0)$. Taken together, if $\varphi \in C^s_*(B_\varepsilon)$, we have $\mathcal{K}\varphi\psi \in C^{s+1}_*(B_{\varepsilon'})$. Since $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\mathcal{K}\varphi\psi \in C^{s+1}_*(B_\varepsilon)$. Thus, if $\sup_x \varphi(x) < \gamma$ in $B_\varepsilon(x_0)$, we may apply the Nemytskii operator (4.1) repeatedly to obtain smoothness of φ in the same set. □

A key ingredient in our forthcoming global bifurcation theory will be the preservation of a particular nodal pattern for solutions of (2.4) that satisfy $\varphi < \gamma$ uniformly in x. This is the content of the following technical result.

Theorem 4.2. *Any non-constant and even solution $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{S})$ of (2.4) which is non-decreasing on $(-\pi, 0)$ and satisfies $\max\varphi \leqslant \gamma + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c$ fulfills*

$$\varphi' > 0,\ \varphi < \gamma \qquad \text{on} \quad (-\pi, 0).$$

If $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{S})$, then $\varphi < \gamma$ everywhere, with

$$\varphi''(0) < 0, \quad \varphi''(\pm\pi) > 0,$$

and $\varphi''(\pi) - \varphi''(0) \gtrsim \varphi(0) - \varphi(\pi)$.

Proof. Since φ is even and non-constant, we have that φ' is odd, non-trivial, and non-positive on $(0, \pi)$. We claim that $\mathcal{K}\varphi'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, \pi)$. To see this, notice that the evenness of the periodic kernel K_p gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}\varphi'(x) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_p(x-y)\varphi'(y)dy \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} \left[K_p(x-y) - K_p(x+y)\right]\varphi'(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since

$$K_p(x-y) - K_p(x+y) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in (0,\pi),$$

so as long as φ is non-constant, we have $\mathcal{K}\varphi'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, \pi)$, as claimed. Now note that, by (2.5),

$$\tfrac{3}{2}(\gamma-\varphi)(\gamma-\varphi+\tfrac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c)\varphi' = N'(\varphi)\varphi' = \mathcal{K}\varphi' < 0, \tag{4.2}$$

on $(0, \pi)$. Since by assumption $\varphi' \geqslant 0$ on this interval, we first get the strict inequality $\varphi' > 0$ on $(0, \pi)$. Then $[\gamma - \varphi]\left[(\gamma - \varphi) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c)\right] > 0$ on the same interval, which holds exactly when $\varphi < \gamma$ or $\varphi > \gamma + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c$. The second alternative is excluded by assumption, whence we conclude that $\varphi < \gamma$.

Now, if $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{S})$, one obtains from (4.2) that

$$N'(\varphi(x))\varphi''(x) = \int_0^{\pi} \left[K_p'(x-y) - K_p'(x+y)\right]\varphi'(y)\,dy, \quad x \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.3}$$

where the integral is well defined for $x \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ in view of (2.9) and the fact that $\varphi'(x+z) = \mathcal{O}(z)$ for $x \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$, $|z| \ll 1$. When $x = 0$, we get

$$N'(\varphi(0))\varphi''(0) = -2\int_0^\pi K_p'(y)\varphi'(y)\,dy < 0,$$

and for $x = \pi$,

$$N'(\varphi(\pi))\varphi''(\pi) = -2\int_0^\pi K_p'(\pi+y)\varphi'(y)\,dy > 0,$$

yielding $\varphi''(0) < 0$ and $\varphi''(\pm\pi) > 0$ (the strict inequality also yields that $\varphi < \gamma$ everywhere). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &N'(\varphi(\pi))(\varphi''(\pi) - \varphi''(0)) + \varphi''(0)(N'(\varphi(\pi)) - N'(\varphi(0)) \\ &= 2\int_0^\pi (K_p'(y) - K_p'(\pi+y))\varphi'(y)\,dy \\ &\gtrsim K_p'(-\tfrac{\pi}{2})(\varphi(0) - \varphi(\pi)), \end{aligned}$$

by the concavity of K_p. Since $N'(\varphi(\pi))$ is positive and bounded, $\varphi''(0) < 0$ and $N'(\varphi(\pi)) - N'(\varphi(0) > 0$, the estimate $\varphi''(\pi) - \varphi''(0) \gtrsim \varphi(0) - \varphi(\pi)$ follows. □

By the above result, all even, 2π-periodic smooth solutions that are non-decreasing on $(-\pi, 0)$ with $\varphi \leqslant \gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ are smooth on $(0, \pi)$ and are strictly decreasing on the same interval with $\varphi(0) < \gamma$. In the next result, we allow for the possibility that $\varphi(0) = \gamma$ and study the behavior of such a solution near $x = 0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let φ be an even, non-constant, 2π-periodic solution of (2.4) such that φ is nondecreasing on $(-\pi, 0)$ with $\varphi \leqslant \gamma$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$. Then φ smooth and strictly increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$, and as $x \to 0$ we have*

$$\gamma - \varphi(x) \gtrsim \frac{|x\log|x||}{1+c}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. First, note by Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 that if $\varphi(0) < \gamma$ then $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and is strictly increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$. In the case when $\varphi(0) = \gamma$, however, φ may not be C^1 and hence we cannot establish smoothness nor strict monotonicity as above. Nevertheless, we now prove that, just as in Theorem 4.2, one has $\varphi'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (-\pi, 0)$ even when φ is merely assumed to be continuous. This is a technical variation of the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.2, which starts with the observation that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}\varphi(x+h) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(x-h) \\ &\quad = \int_{-\pi}^0 [K_p(y-x) - K_p(y+x)]\,[\varphi(y+h) - \varphi(y-h)]\,dy, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

in view of evenness and periodicity of both φ and K_p. For $x \in (-\pi, 0)$ and $h \in (0, \pi)$, both factors in the integrand are non-negative, and since φ

is assumed to be non-constant, we conclude that $\mathcal{K}\varphi(x+h) > \mathcal{K}\varphi(x-h)$ whenever x, h are chosen as above. From (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{K}\varphi(x) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(y)\\ &= (\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))\left(c^2 - \tfrac{3c}{2}(\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)) + \tfrac{1}{2}\left((\varphi(x))^2 + \varphi(x)\varphi(y) + (\varphi(y))^2\right)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By letting $\tilde{\varphi} = c\varphi$, one sees that, up to a factor of $c^2/2$, the long expression on the right-hand side is non-negative because

$$2 - 3(\tilde{\varphi}(x)+\tilde{\varphi}(y)) + (\tilde{\varphi}(x))^2 + \tilde{\varphi}(x)\tilde{\varphi}(y) + (\tilde{\varphi}(y))^2 \geqslant 0$$

for $\tilde{\varphi} \leqslant 1 - 1/\sqrt{3}$, with equality only when $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \tilde{\varphi}(y) = 1 - 1/\sqrt{3}$ (recall here that $\varphi \leqslant \gamma$). Since we already proved that $\mathcal{K}\varphi$ is strictly increasing on $-\pi, 0)$, the assumption that φ is nondecreasing together with (4.6) show that φ is indeed strictly increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$ (hence, $\varphi(x) = \gamma$ is excluded except at $x \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$). Consequently, φ is smooth on $(-\pi, 0)$ by Lemma 4.1, and to conclude that φ also has a strictly positive derivative in the left half-period, one may apply Fatou's lemma to (4.5). Via (4.6) this shows that $\varphi'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (-\pi, 0)$.

To establish the lower bound (4.4), observe that by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$(1+c)(\gamma - \varphi(x)) \gtrsim \frac{3}{2}(\gamma-\varphi(x))(\gamma - \varphi(x) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c) = N'(\varphi(x)) \tag{4.7}$$

so that it is sufficient to study the behavior of $N'(\varphi(x))$ for $|x| \ll 1$. From the above, for each $x \in [-\pi, 0)$ there exists a $\xi \in (x, x/2)$ such that

$$\varphi'(\xi) = \min_{y \in [x, x/2]} \varphi'(y).$$

Since $N'(\varphi)$ is strictly decreasing in φ for all $\varphi < \gamma$, it follows from the monotonicity of φ that $N'(\varphi(x)) \geqslant N'(\varphi(\xi))$ for all $x \in [-\pi, 0)$. From (2.4) and the fact that $\varphi'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (-\pi, 0)$ we then see that

$$\begin{aligned} N'(\varphi(x))\varphi'(\xi) &\geqslant N'(\varphi(\xi))\varphi'(\xi)\\ &= \mathcal{K}\varphi'(\xi)\\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{0} \left[K_p(\xi - y) - K_p(\xi + y)\right]\varphi'(y)\, dy\\ &\geqslant \varphi'(\xi)\int_{x}^{\frac{x}{2}} \left[K_p(\xi - y) - K_p(\xi + y)\right]\, dy \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by $K_p(\xi - y) - K_p(\xi + y) > 0$ for $\xi, y \in (-\pi, 0)$, and by the definition of ξ. Lemma 2.3(iii) now immediately provides

the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} N'(\varphi(x)) &\geqslant \int_x^{\frac{x}{2}} \left[K_p(\xi - y) - K_p(\xi + y)\right] \, dy \\ &\geqslant \max\left(\int_x^{\xi}, \int_{\xi}^{\frac{x}{2}}\right) \left[K_p(\xi - y) - K_p(\xi + y)\right] \, dy \\ &\geqslant 2\int_I \left[\log|\pi(\xi + y)/4| - \log|\pi(\xi - y)/4|\right] \, dy - \mathcal{O}(x^3). \end{aligned}$$

Here we take $I = [\xi, x/2]$ when $\xi \leqslant \frac{3}{4}x$ and $I = [x, \xi]$ when $\xi > \frac{3}{4}x$. It follows that

$$N'(\varphi(x)) \gtrsim |(\xi - z)\log|\pi(\xi - z)|| - |(\xi + z)\log|\pi(\xi + z)|| - \mathcal{O}(x) \quad (4.8)$$

for all $x \in (-\pi, 0)$, where $z = x$ if $\xi > \frac{3}{4}x$ and $z = \frac{x}{2}$ if $\xi \leqslant \frac{3}{4}x$. Considering $|x| \ll 1$ small enough for $|x\log|\pi x||$ to be monotone in x, we find that for such x and z we have

$$|(\xi - z)\log|\pi(\xi - z)|| - |(\xi + z)\log|\pi(\xi + z)|| \geqslant |\tfrac{x}{4}\log|\pi\tfrac{x}{4}| - |x\log|\pi x||, \quad (4.9)$$

and we may further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\tfrac{x}{4}\log|\pi\tfrac{x}{4}| - |x\log|\pi x|| &= \left|\frac{x}{4}\log\left|\frac{\pi x/4}{(\pi x)^4}\right|\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{3x}{4}\log\left|4^{1/3}\pi x\right|\right| \geqslant \frac{3}{4}|x\log|x|| - \mathcal{O}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10), the result follows immediately by (4.7). □

The estimate (4.4) obviously holds for any solution $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{S})$ than can be approximated in $C(\mathbb{S})$ by a sequence of solutions satisfying the assumptions of the lemma. In particular, if $\varphi(0) = \gamma$ for such a solution, Lemma 4.3 implies that the solution cannot be continuously differentiable, or even Lipschitz continuous, at $x = 0$. The next result explores the global regularity of such a wave, as well as the singularity at $x = 0$ (we so far only have a lower bound on $\gamma - \varphi(x)$).

Theorem 4.4. *Let φ be an even, 2π-periodic solution of (2.4) that is nondecreasing on $(-\pi, 0)$ with $\varphi(0) = \gamma$. Then:*

(i) *φ is smooth and strictly increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$.*
(ii) *$\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*
(iii) *The estimate*

$$\gamma - \varphi(x) \eqsim |x\log|x||, \quad (4.11)$$

holds for all $|x| \ll 1$.

Remark 4.5. *As detailed in the proof of Theorem 4.4(ii), the C^α-estimates are uniform in α over any compact subset of $(0, 1)$, and uniform in φ for wavespeeds c contained in any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$. They hold whenever φ is even, 2π-periodic, nondecreasing on $(-\pi, 0)$ and satisfies $\varphi \leqslant \gamma$.*

Proof. Part (i) and the lower bound in (4.11) have already been established in Lemma 4.3. It thus remains to prove the global regularity result in (ii) and the upper bound in (iii).

To establish (ii), let $0 \leqslant x < y \leqslant \pi$ and note that by Taylor's theorem,

$$N(\varphi(x)) - N(\varphi(y)) = (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))N'(\varphi(x)) - \tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 N''(\varphi(\xi_1)),$$

for some $\xi_1 \in (x, y)$. Further, using $N'(\gamma) = 0$, the mean value theorem implies

$$N'(\varphi(x)) = N''(\varphi(\xi_2)(\varphi(x) - \gamma)$$

for some $\xi_2 \in (0, x)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} N(\varphi(x)) - N(\varphi(y)) = &-(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(\gamma - \varphi(x))N''(\varphi(\xi_2)) \\ &- \tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2 N''(\varphi(\xi_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now note that

$$N''(\varphi(\xi)) \leqslant -\sqrt{3}c \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi \in [0, \pi],$$

in view of that $N''(\gamma) = -\sqrt{3}c$ and that, for such ξ, we have $\frac{d}{d\xi}N''(\varphi(\xi)) < 0$ and $\varphi(\xi) \leqslant \gamma$. In particular,

$$N(\varphi(x)) - N(\varphi(y)) \gtrsim (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(\gamma - \varphi(x)) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2,$$

which holds uniformly for all solution pairs (φ, c) with $c \gtrsim 1$. Since φ is monotone decreasing on $(0, \pi)$, it follows from (2.4) that the above estimate yields

$$\mathcal{K}\varphi(x) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(y) \gtrsim (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(\gamma - \varphi(x)) \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}\varphi(x) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(y) \gtrsim (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^2, \tag{4.13}$$

uniformly for $c \gtrsim 1$. Now, recall that if $\varphi \in C^s_*(\mathbb{S})$ for some $s \geqslant 0$, then $\mathcal{K}(\varphi) \in C^{s+1}_*(\mathbb{S})$. From (4.13) and the continuity of the embedding $C^1_*(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, it is immediate that any solution $\varphi \in C^0(\mathbb{S})$ belongs to $C^{1/2-}(\mathbb{S})$.

To show that φ has better regularity than $C^{1/2-}(\mathbb{S})$ we observe that for any $f \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{S})$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f'(0) = 0$, one has the estimate

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y||f'(\xi) - f'(0)| \lesssim |x - y||\xi|^\alpha,$$

valid for some $|\xi| \in (x, y)$. Applying this estimate to the function $\mathcal{K}\varphi$, it follows that if $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then for $0 \leqslant x < y \leqslant \pi$ we have

$$\mathcal{K}\varphi(x) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(y) \lesssim |x - y|y^\alpha. \tag{4.14}$$

Whenever $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the estimates (4.13), (4.14) and the triangle inequality together yield

$$\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \lesssim |x - y|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \tag{4.15}$$

valid uniformly for all $0 \leqslant x < y \leqslant \pi$ with $x < |x-y|$, and all solutions φ with $c \gtrsim 1$. In particular, taking $x = 0$ above implies

$$\gamma - \varphi(y) \lesssim |y|^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}, \tag{4.16}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{S}$, when $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$. When, on the other hand, $|x-y| \leqslant x$ we have from (4.12), (4.14) and the triangle inequality that

$$(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))\,(\gamma - \varphi(x)) \lesssim |x-y| x^\alpha.$$

Since $\gamma - \varphi(x) \gtrsim x/(1+c)$ by Lemma 4.3, it follows that

$$\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \lesssim \frac{|x-y|}{x^{1-\alpha}}, \tag{4.17}$$

whenever $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and $c \eqsim 1$.

We now interpolate between (4.16) and (4.17), still for $|x-y| \leqslant x$. Namely, using that $y < 2x$, for a given $\beta \in (0,1)$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x-y|^\beta} &\leqslant \frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))^\beta}{|x-y|^\beta} (\gamma - \varphi(y))^{1-\beta} \\ &\lesssim x^{(\alpha-1)\beta + \frac{(1+\alpha)(1-\beta)}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded for all $0 \leqslant x < y \leqslant \pi$ provided that $\beta \leqslant \frac{1+\alpha}{3-\alpha}$. In particular, taking $\beta = \frac{1+\alpha}{3-\alpha}$ above we have the estimate

$$\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \lesssim |x-y|^{(1+\alpha)/(3-\alpha)}$$

when $|x-y| \leqslant x$, valid uniformly for all solutions $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for which $c \eqsim 1$. Here, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is still considered fixed. Combining with (4.15) and noting that $2 < 3 - \alpha$, we have established the estimate

$$\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \lesssim |x-y|^{(1+\alpha)/2} \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 \leqslant x < y \leqslant \pi$$

whenever $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ with $\alpha \in (0,1)$. It follows that if $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ is a solution of (2.4) for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $\varphi \in C^{(1+\alpha)/2}(\mathbb{S})$. Fixing $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1/2)$, we may define the recurrence relation

$$a_0 = \alpha_0, \quad a_{n+1} = \frac{1 + a_n}{2},\ n \geqslant 0,$$

yielding that $\varphi \in C^{a_n}(\mathbb{S})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is clearly strictly increasing with $a_n \nearrow 1$, φ belongs to $C^{1-}(\mathbb{S})$, as claimed. The C^α-estimates are furthermore uniform for all α in any compact subinterval of $(0,1)$, and for all solution pairs (φ, c) with c in a compact subinterval of $(0,\infty)$.

It remains to establish the upper bound in (4.11). This is the most technical part of the paper. Observe that since $\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, one has

$$c_\alpha := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{\gamma - \varphi(y)}{|y|^\alpha (1 + |\log |y||)} < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in (0,1), \tag{4.18}$$

and our goal is to show that one may let $\alpha \nearrow 1$ to obtain the desired bound $\gamma - \varphi(x) \lesssim |x \log |x||$ for all x sufficiently small. To this end, let $0 < \delta \ll 1$ and note for all $x \in (0, \delta)$ we have from (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma - \varphi(x))^2 &\lesssim \mathcal{K}\varphi(0) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(x) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (K_p(y) - K_p(x-y))\, \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (K_p(x-y) - K_p(y))\, (\gamma - \varphi(y))\, dy.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that K_p is 2π-periodic. Taking $y \mapsto -y$ above and averaging gives the representation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}\varphi(0) - \mathcal{K}\varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (K_p(x+y) + K_p(x-y) - 2K_p(y))\, (\gamma - \varphi(y))\, dy \\ &= -2 \int_0^{\pi} (\log \left|\tfrac{x+y}{4}\right| + \log \left|\tfrac{x-y}{4}\right| - 2 \log \left|\tfrac{y}{4}\right|)(\gamma - \varphi(y))\, dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\pi} (K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(x+y) + K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(x-y) - 2K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(y))(\gamma - \varphi(y))\, dy,\end{aligned}$$

where the final equality follows from Lemma 4.1. Since $K''_{p,\mathrm{reg}}$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, the estimate

$$\int_0^{\pi} (K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(x+y) + K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(x-y) - 2K_{p,\mathrm{reg}}(y))(\gamma - \varphi(y))\, dy \lesssim x^2 \tag{4.19}$$

holds. To estimate also the principle part, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| \int_0^{\pi} (\log \left|\tfrac{x+y}{4}\right| + \log \left|\tfrac{x-y}{4}\right| - 2 \log \left|\tfrac{y}{4}\right|)(\gamma - \varphi(y))\, dy \right| \\ &\leqslant c_\alpha \int_0^{\pi} \left| \log \left|\tfrac{x+y}{4}\right| + \log \left|\tfrac{x-y}{4}\right| - 2 \log \left|\tfrac{y}{4}\right| \right| |y|^\alpha (1 + |\log |y||)\, dy.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Making the change of variables $y = xs$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\log \left|\tfrac{x(1+s)}{4}\right| + \log \left|\tfrac{x(1-s)}{4}\right| - 2 \log \left|\tfrac{xs}{4}\right| \\ &= \log |1+s| + \log |1-s| - 2 \log s \approx \frac{1}{s^2} \quad \text{as } s \to \infty,\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

whence the magnitude of this expression is independent of x, and is integrable in s on $(0, \infty)$. The integral on the right-hand side in (4.20) can thus

be further estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c_\alpha x^{1+\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{x}} |\log|1+s| + \log|1-s| - 2\log s|\, s^\alpha (1+|\log x + \log s|)\, ds \\
&\lesssim c_\alpha x^{1+\alpha} \left(|\log x| + |\log x| \int_1^{\frac{\pi}{x}} \frac{s^\alpha}{s^2}\, ds + \int_1^{\frac{\pi}{x}} \frac{s^\alpha \log s}{s^2}\, ds \right) \\
&\lesssim c_\alpha x^{1+\alpha} \left(|\log x| + |\log x| \int_1^{\frac{\pi}{x}} \frac{ds}{s} + \int_1^{\frac{\pi}{x}} \frac{\log s}{s}\, ds \right) \\
&\lesssim c_\alpha x^{2\alpha} |\log x|^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.22}
$$

where we have used that $\int_1^{\pi/x} \log(s)/s\, ds = \frac{1}{2}(\log(\pi/x))^2 \lesssim (\log(x))^2$ and that all terms that are bounded in s are $\mathcal{O}(\log(x))$ for $x \in (0, \delta]$. Specifically, the integrals are $\mathcal{O}(|\log(x)|)$ on any compact interval, so it does not matter which starting point we choose for the integrals with end-point $\frac{\pi}{x}$.

Finally, combining (4.19), (4.22) and (4.13) it follows that

$$
(\gamma - \varphi(x))^2 \lesssim (\mathcal{K}\varphi)(0) - (\mathcal{K}\varphi)(x) \lesssim c_\alpha (x^\alpha (1 + |\log x|))^2,
$$

where the estimates are uniform for all $(\alpha, x) \in (0,1) \times (0, \delta]$, and where c_α is defined in (4.18). Rearranging, the above yields the estimate

$$
\left(\frac{\gamma - \varphi(x)}{x^\alpha (1 + |\log x|)} \right)^2 \lesssim c_\alpha
$$

valid for all $x \in (0, \delta]$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$. For $x \in (\delta, \pi]$ we note that the left-hand side in the above inequality is uniformly bounded for all $\alpha \in [0,1)$, hence we find

$$
\left(\frac{\gamma - \varphi(x)}{x^\alpha (1 + |\log x|)} \right)^2 \lesssim \max(c_\alpha, 1),
$$

valid for all $x \in (0, \pi)$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathbb{S}$ now yields $c_\alpha^2 \lesssim \max(c_\alpha, 1)$, hence $c_\alpha \lesssim 1$ uniformly in $\alpha \in (0,1)$. With this uniform bound, we may now take $\alpha \nearrow 1$ in (4.18) to get

$$
\gamma - \varphi(x) \lesssim x\,(1 + |\log x|),
$$

valid for all $x \in (0, \pi)$. Taking $x \to 0^+$ finally yields desired upper bound in (4.11). □

Theorem 4.4 implies that *if* there exists an even, 2π-periodic continuous solution of (2.4) that is nondecreasing on $(0, \pi)$ with $\varphi(0) = \gamma$, then the derivative of such a solution blows up at $x = 0$ at a logarithmic rate, i.e., the solution is *logarithmically cusped*. This is in contrast to analogous highest solutions of the unidirectional Whitham equation, where such solutions are cusped with their derivatives at the highest point blowing up at an algebraic rate [14].

From the proof of Theorem 4.4 and the mapping property (2.12), it is tempting to expect that such a highest solution φ of (2.4) may belong to

$C^1_*(\mathbb{S})$. However, this is readily seen to be false due to the following characterization: a function $f \in C^0(\mathbb{S})$ belongs to the Zygmund space $f \in C^1_*(\mathbb{S})$ if and only if

$$\sup_{h\neq 0} \frac{\|f(\cdot+h)+f(\cdot-h)-2f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S})}}{|h|} < \infty.$$

Indeed, taking $f(x) = |x\log|x||$ we find that

$$\|f(\cdot+h)-f(\cdot-h)-2f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S})} \geqslant |f(h)+f(-h)-2f(0)| = 2|h\log|h||,$$

which immediately implies that $f \notin C^1_*(\mathbb{S})$ by the above characterization. The precise regularity of such a highest wave of (2.4) is thus an interesting question: it is neither continuously differentiable, nor Lipschitz, nor does it belong to the Zygmund space $C^1_*(\mathbb{S})$, nor does its derivative belong to the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation BMO($\mathbb{R}$), due to its sign-changing property at the singular crest. We settle here for the asymptotic property (4.11), but it is reasonable to believe that the optimal regularity for the highest wave belongs is a dyadic space (see, e.g., [4]).

It now remains to prove that a symmetric, 2π-periodic, uni-modal solution of (2.4) exists with $\varphi(0) = \gamma$. This is the goal of the next section.

5. Bifurcation of smooth periodic waves with a single crest in each period

In this section we develop a bifurcation theory for the profile equation (2.4). We begin with local bifurcation theory, and then extend this to a nonlocal global theory, carefully characterizing the end of the bifurcation branch. In particular, we will see that the bifurcation branch terminates in a highest wave that is even, monotone increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$, and satisfies $\varphi(0) = \gamma$. By Theorem 4.4 above, it follows that this highest wave will be a cusped traveling wave solution of the bidirectional Whitham model.

Our study starts with the existence of small-amplitude, nonlinear solutions to (2.4). To this end, notice that for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ the space $C^\alpha_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) = C^\alpha_{*,\text{even}}(\mathbb{S})$ of even functions in $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ forms a Banach algebra. Further, since K is even it follows that, for such α, $C^\alpha_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S})$ is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{K}$, in view of that

$$\mathcal{K} : C^\alpha_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) \to C^{\alpha+1}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow C^\alpha_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}).$$

Seeking solutions of (2.4) in $C^\alpha_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S})$, we consider the function

$$F : C^{k,\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R} \to C^{k,\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S})$$

defined by

$$F(\varphi, c) := \mathcal{K}\varphi - N(\varphi; c),$$

with N as in (2.4). Then F is a real-analytic operator. Observing that $F(0, c) = 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and that

$$\partial_u F[(0, c)] = \mathcal{K} - c^2$$

one readily sees that $\ker(\partial_u F[(0,c)])$ is trivial unless

$$c^2 = \frac{\tanh(k)}{k} \tag{5.1}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, in which case $\ker(\partial_u F[(0,c)]) = \operatorname{span}\{\cos(kx)\}$. In particular, such values of c^2 are simple eigenvalues of $\mathcal{K}$. It follows from the analytic version of the Crandall–Rabinowitz theorem [6] that near any point $(0,c)$ for such values of c there exists small-amplitude solutions (φ, c) in $C^{k,\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the nonlocal profile equation (2.4) (for details, see, e.g., [13]). This result is summarized in the following lemma.

Proposition 5.1. *Fix $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. For each integer $k \geqslant 1$ there exists $c_k := \sqrt{\tanh(k)/k}$ and a local, analytic curve*

$$\varepsilon \mapsto (\varphi(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon)) \in C^{\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) \times (0,1),$$

defined in a (real) neighborhood of $\varepsilon = 0$, of non-trivial $2\pi/k$-periodic solutions of profile equation (2.4) that bifurcate from the trivial solution curve $c \mapsto (0,c)$ at $(\varphi(0), c(0)) = (0, c_k)$. For $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$, we have

$$\varphi(x;\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\cos(kx) + \frac{3c_k\varepsilon^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{c_k^2 - 1} + \frac{\cos(2kx)}{c_k^2 - c_{2k}^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$$

and

$$c(\varepsilon) = c_k + \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{8}\left[-\frac{1}{2c_k} + 3c_k\left(\frac{1}{c_k^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{2(c_k^2 - c_{2k}^2)}\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4).$$

In a neighborhood of the bifurcation point $(0, c_k)$ these comprise all non-trivial solutions of $F(\varphi, c) = 0$ in $C^{\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}$, and there are no other bifurcation points $c > 0$, $c \neq 1$, in $C^{\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S})$.

There are a couple of comments to be given in connection to Proposition 5.1. We list these together in the following remark.

Remark 5.2.
(i) When $k = 0$, meaning $c = 1$, a bifurcating line $c \mapsto (\frac{3c - \sqrt{8+c^2}}{2}, c)$ of constant solutions intersects the trivial solution curve $c \mapsto (0,c)$, resulting in a transcritical bifurcation. Together, these constitute all solutions in $C^{\alpha}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S})$ in a neighborhood of $(\varphi, c) = (0,1)$.

(ii) There is nothing particular about the choice of α in this section. In fact, as we shall show, all small enough solutions are smooth, and so all agree by uniqueness. The choice $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ is by convenience. For the global argument, however, the choice of α has some implications for the proof. In particular, $\alpha < 1$ makes it easier to rule out one alternative in Theorem 5.3 along the curve of solutions.

(iii) In contrast to the corresponding unidirectional Whitham equation, we see from (5.1) that the bidirectional equation (2.4) admits two families of small-amplitude solutions; one for positive values of c, and one for negative.

The change of variables $(\varphi, c) \mapsto -(\varphi, c)$ *in* (2.4) *however guarantees that these are in one-to-one correspondence to each other.*

We shall now analyze the global structure of the local bifurcation curve constructed in Proposition 5.1. To begin with, we introduce the admissible set

$$U := \{(\varphi, c) \in C^\alpha_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R} : \varphi < \gamma\},$$

where $\gamma = c(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$, and the set of solutions

$$S := \{(\varphi, c) \in U : F(\varphi, c) = 0\}.$$

With these definitions, the following global bifurcation result is an easy adaptation of [6, Theorem 9.1].

Theorem 5.3. *The local bifurcation curve* $\varepsilon \mapsto (\varphi(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon)) \in C^\alpha_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}$ *of solutions of* (2.4) *constructed in Proposition 5.1 for* $k = 1$ *extend to a global continuous curve of solutions*

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(\varphi(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon)) : \varepsilon \in [0, \infty)\} \subset S,$$

that allows a local real-analytic reparametrization about each $\varepsilon > 0$*. Furthermore, one of the following alternatives hold:*

(i) $\|(\varphi(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon))\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})\times\mathbb{R}} \to \infty$ *as* $\varepsilon \to \infty$*.*

(ii) $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{R}, \partial U) = 0$*.*

(iii) *The function* $\varepsilon \mapsto (\varphi(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon))$ *is* T*-periodic for some finite* $T > 0$*.*

Proof. By [6, Theorem 9.1], it is enough to verify that closed and bounded subsets of S are compact in $C^\alpha_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S}) \times (0, 1)$, and that $c(\varepsilon)$ is not identically constant for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. As for the former, let $V \subset S$ be a closed and bounded set in S. By closedness there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\inf_V \{\gamma - \max \varphi\} > \delta,$$

for all pairs (φ, c) in V. One therefore has, similarly to (4.1), that the function

$$N^{-1}(\cdot, c)$$

is a well-defined and smooth on V. Since $\mathcal{K}$ maps $C^\alpha_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S})$ continuously into $C^{\alpha+1}_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S})$, and $C^{\alpha+1}_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S})$ is compactly embedded in $C^\alpha_{\rm even}(\mathbb{S})$, it follows that the composition $N^{-1}(\cdot, c) \circ \mathcal{K}$ maps bounded sets into precompact sets. But for solutions in S we have

$$\varphi = [N^{-1}(\cdot, c) \circ \mathcal{K}]\varphi,$$

so that V is indeed precompact. Since V is also closed, it is compact.

Now, for $k = 1$ in Proposition 5.1 one has $c''(0) < 0$, whence the result is a consequence of the global bifurcation result in [6]. □

We proceed to classify the limiting behavior of the solutions at the end of the bifurcation curve. We will prove that both alternatives (i) and (iii) in Theorem 5.3 are excluded, whence (ii) happens by the curve approaching a "highest" wave, which we shall show satisfies $\varphi(0) = \gamma$. As a first step, observe that Proposition 5.1 implies $0 < c(\varepsilon) < 1$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. The next result shows, in combination with Remark 2.4, that the global bifurcation curve cannot pass $c = 0$ or $c = 1$ without crossing the curve of zero solutions.

Lemma 5.4. *For $c = 1$ the zero solution is the unique solution of (2.4) satisfying $\varphi \leqslant \gamma$; for $c = 0$ one additionally has the solution $\varphi \equiv -\sqrt{2}$.*

Proof. When $c = 1$, φ solves the equation

$$(1 - \mathcal{K})\,\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2\,(3c - \varphi)\,.$$

Recalling that $\hat{K}(0) = 1$, we find by integrating over $(-\pi, \pi)$ that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi^2\,(3c - \varphi)\,dx = 0.$$

Since $\varphi \leqslant \gamma < 3c$ it follows that $\varphi \equiv 0$, as claimed.

Now, let φ be a solution of (2.4) with $c = 0$ satisfying $\max_x \varphi(x) \leqslant \gamma = 0$. Since Lemma 2.3 implies that $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{L}(L^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ with unit operator norm, the profile equation (2.4) implies that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(\min_x \varphi(x))^3 \geqslant \min_x \varphi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tfrac{1}{2}(\max_x \varphi(x))^3 \leqslant \max_x \varphi(x).$$

Either $\max_x \varphi(x) = 0$, in which case it follows from Remark 2.4 that $\varphi \equiv 0$, or $\max_x \varphi(x) < 0$ with $(\max_x \varphi(x))^2 \geqslant 2$. Since $(\min_x \varphi(x))^2 \leqslant 2$, we must have $\varphi \equiv -\sqrt{2}$ in the second case (else $\max \varphi$ would be strictly smaller than $\min \varphi$). □

We now show that alternative (iii) in Theorem 5.3 is excluded. Consider the set

$$\Lambda = \{\varphi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})\colon \varphi \text{ is even and non-decreasing on } (-\pi, 0)\}, \tag{5.2}$$

which is a closed cone in $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$. Observe that from Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 4.1, we have that the solutions $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, and may be expanded as $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\cos(x) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ in $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$. We thus have $\varphi(\varepsilon) \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. The next result shows that all solutions $\{\varphi(\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ on the global bifurcation curve $\mathcal{R}$ belong to $\Lambda \setminus \{0\}$, and consequently that alternative (iii) in Theorem 5.3 is excluded.

Lemma 5.5. *One has $\varphi(\varepsilon) \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ along the global bifurcation curve. In particular, alternative (iii) in Theorem 5.3 cannot occur.*

Remark 5.6. *The proof of Lemma 5.5 requires the nodal pattern of $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ proved in Theorem 4.2, combined with the properties of the curve Γ_- of trivial solutions. A detailed proof, based on the theory in* [6]*, is carried out*

in [14]. *However, in* [14] *there exists a Galilean symmetry relating solutions with wave speed* $c > 1$ *to those with wave speed* $0 \leqslant c < 1$*, and this fact was used in the proof. Since the profile equation* (2.4) *in the present case does* not *admit such a symmetry, for completeness we outline the main steps of the proof, providing full details only in the necessary modifications.*

Proof. To begin, notice that if the lemma were false then the number

$$\bar{\varepsilon} := \sup \{\eta > 0 \colon \varphi(\eta) \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}\}$$

would be finite and strictly positive. Since Λ is a closed subset of $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ it must be that $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in \Lambda$. As in [14], one may use the nodal pattern in Theorem 4.2 to argue that if $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon})$ is non-constant, then it is an interior point of $\mathcal{R} \cap \Lambda$ with respect to the $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$ metric relative to $\mathbb{R}$, contradicting the definition of $\bar{\varepsilon}$. Therefore, by the discussion at the beginning of Section 4, one of the following must be true:

$$\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in \Gamma_-, \quad \varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in \Gamma_+, \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \equiv 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{R} \subset S$, the possibility that $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in \Gamma_+$ is clearly excluded. Further, if $c(\bar{\varepsilon}) > 1$ then there exists a $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \bar{\varepsilon}$ such that $c(\varepsilon_1) = 1$. In this case, Lemma 5.4 implies that $\varphi(\varepsilon_1) \equiv 0$, which contradicts the definition of $\bar{\varepsilon}$. Thus, it must be that $0 \leqslant c(\bar{\varepsilon}) \leqslant 1$. Suppose now that $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in \Gamma_-$ with $c(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in [0, 1]$. If $c(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in [0, 1)$ then since $\max_x [\Gamma_-(c(\bar{\varepsilon}))](x) < 0$ it follows by continuity that there exists an $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ such that $\max_x \varphi(\varepsilon_2) = 0$ which, by Remark 2.4, implies that $\varphi(\varepsilon_2) \equiv 0$, again contradicting the definition of $\bar{\varepsilon}$. Consequently, if $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \in \Gamma_-$ it must be that $c(\bar{\varepsilon}) = 1$ and hence $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \equiv 0$ by Lemma 5.4. Recalling that the only solutions with $0 < c < 1$ that connects to $(\varphi, c) = (0, 1)$ are the constant solutions, it follows that either $\varphi(\varepsilon) = 0$ for all $0 < \bar{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \ll 1$, again contradicting the definition of $\bar{\varepsilon}$, otherwise $\varphi(\varepsilon) \in \Gamma_-$ with $0 < c(\varepsilon) < 1$ for all $0 < \bar{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \ll 1$. The latter case, however, has already been excluded.

In summary, $\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}) \equiv 0$ and $c(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ uniformly for all $\varepsilon \in [0, \bar{\varepsilon}]$. The remainder of the proof goes as in [14], hence we just outline the details. By Proposition 5.1 we see that $(\varphi(\bar{\varepsilon}), c(\bar{\varepsilon}))$ is a local bifurcation point and, since $\cos(kx) \in \Lambda$ if and only if $k = 1$, we find that $\mathcal{R}$ coincides with the primary branch, i.e., with itself, for $0 < \bar{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \ll 1$. Precisely, there exists a countably infinite set of pairs $\{(\varepsilon_{1,j}, \varepsilon_{2,j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_{1,j} \searrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_{2,j} \nearrow \bar{\varepsilon}$ as $j \to \infty$ with $\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_{1,j}) = \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_{2,j})$ for all $j \geqslant 1$. It follows that the kernel of $DF(\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_{1,j})$ is nontrivial, having dimension at least one for each $j \geqslant 1$. Since the values of ε for which the kernel of $DF(\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon))$ is nontrivial are known to be isolated, c.f. [6], this yields a contradiction. Therefore, such an $\bar{\varepsilon}$ does not exist, and $\varphi(\varepsilon) \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ as claimed. □

Combining Theorem 4.2 with Lemma 5.5, one obtains that all solutions $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ along the main bifurcation curve $\mathcal{R}$ are nontrivial, smooth, even, and strictly increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$. We now wish to pass to the limit $\varepsilon \to \infty$ along the global bifurcation branch, obtaining a nontrivial highest wave. To

this end, the next result shows that the solution set is relatively compact in the appropriate space.

Lemma 5.7. *Given a sequence $\{(c(\varepsilon_n), \varphi(\varepsilon_n))\}_n$ of solution pairs along the global bifurcation curve from Theorem 5.3 satisfying $c_n \eqsim 1$, there exists a subsequence converging uniformly to a solution pair $(\varphi, c) \in C(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $\{(c_n, \varphi_n)\}_n = \{(c(\varepsilon_n), \varphi(\varepsilon_n))\}_n$. By assumption, there exists a subsequence such that $c_n \to c$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Remark 4.5 then guarantees that $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is uniformly bounded in $C^\alpha(\mathbb{S})$, where we may pick $\alpha \in (0,1)$ by convenience. In particular, $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is an equicontinuous family of solutions, and thus, by Arzela–Ascoli's lemma and compactness of $\mathbb{S}$, has a subsequence converging uniformly to a function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{S})$. To see that (φ, c) solves (2.4), it suffices to note that $K_p \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$, and that N is smooth in φ and c. We may thus let $(\varphi_n, c_n) \to (\varphi, c)$ in $C(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}$ in (2.4) to conclude that (φ, c) solves the same equation. □

Finally, to exclude that we end up with a trivial wave, we prove the following a priori bound on the wavespeed along the main bifurcation branch.

Lemma 5.8. *Along the global bifurcation curve in Theorem 5.3, the wavespeed $c(\varepsilon)$ satisfies*

$$0 < c_{\min} \leqslant c(\varepsilon) \leqslant c_{\max} < 1.$$

In particular, alternative (i) in Theorem 5.3 is excluded.

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 5.5, we find that $c(\varepsilon) < 1$ uniformly for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Indeed, Proposition 5.1 implies $c(\varepsilon) < 1$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and Lemma 5.4 implies that the only way that $c(\varepsilon)$ can approach $c = 1$ along $\mathcal{R}$ is for the solutions $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ to approach the trivial solution $\varphi = 0$. However, Proposition 5.1 implies that the unique solutions in a neighborhood of $(\varphi, c) = (0, 1)$ are the constant solutions. Since the proof of Lemma 5.5 shows that the main bifurcation curve $\mathcal{R}$ does not connect to the two lines of constant solutions, it follows that $c(\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded away from $c = 1$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

To verify that $c(\varepsilon) \gtrsim 1$ uniformly, suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a sequence $(\varphi_n, c_n) = (\varphi(\varepsilon_n), c(\varepsilon_n)) \in S \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $c_n \searrow 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 5.7, we know that $\varphi_n \to \varphi_0$ in $C(\mathbb{S})$ for some solution $\varphi_0 \leqslant \gamma$, non-decreasing on $(-\pi, 0)$. Since $c = 0$, φ_0 must be constant by Lemma 5.4. There are now two cases. If $\varphi_0 = 0$ one immediately gets a contradiction from Lemma 4.3. If, on the other hand, $\varphi_0 = -\sqrt{2}$, the solution curve must, by continuity, have passed a point (c_*, φ_*) at which $c_* > 0$ and $\max_x \varphi_*(x) = 0$. (The asymptotic formula for $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ in Proposition 5.1 guarantees that $\max_x \varphi(\varepsilon) > 0$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.) According to Remark 2.4, $\varphi_* \equiv 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\varphi(\varepsilon)$ is strictly monotone on a half-period by Lemma 5.5, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$.

Finally, since $c(\varepsilon) \eqsim 1$ it follows from Remark 4.5 that $\|(\varphi(\varepsilon), c(\varepsilon))\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}}$ is uniformly bounded for all $s > 0$; recall here that $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is fixed. This excludes alternative (i) in Theorem 5.3, as claimed. □

Combining the results of this section, we obtain the following: By Proposition 5.3, Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.8 there exists a sequence $\{(\varphi_n, c_n)\}_n = \{\varphi(\varepsilon_n), c(\varepsilon_n)\}_n$ that approaches the boundary of U as $n \to \infty$, meaning that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\gamma_n - \max \varphi_n) = 0,$$

with $\gamma_n = (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})c_n$. Lemma 5.8 guarantees that $\inf_n c_n > 0$, and it furthermore follows from Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 that $\sup_n c_n < 1$. Hence, Lemma 5.7 yields the existence of a convergent subsequence, denoted again by $\{\varphi_n, c_n\}_n$, converging in $C(\mathbb{S}) \times \mathbb{R}$ to a solution pair (φ, c). The solution φ is non-decreasing on $[-\pi, 0]$ and satisfies $\varphi(0) = \gamma$, with $\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n$. Theorem 4.4 now immediately yields the following result.

Theorem 5.9. *In Theorem 5.3, only alternative (ii) occurs. Given any sequence of positive numbers ε_n with $\varepsilon_n \nearrow \infty$, there exists a limiting wave φ obtained as the uniform limit of a subsequence $\{\varphi(\varepsilon_{n_k})\}_k$. The limiting wave is a solution of (2.4) with $c = \lim_{k\to\infty} c(\varepsilon_{n_k})$ and is even, 2π-periodic, and satisfies $\varphi(0) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) c =: \gamma$. Further, it is strictly increasing on $(-\pi, 0)$, smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and satisfies*

$$\gamma - \varphi(x) \simeq |x \log |x||\,,$$

for all $|x| \ll 1$ sufficiently small.

Appendix A. Numerical Schemes

In this appendix, we turn to the numerical approximation of solutions of (2.4). To numerically approximate the even, 2π-periodic solutions of (2.4), we employ a cosine-collocation method as discussed in [13, Section 5] in conjunction with the pseudo-arclength continuation method to achieve a curve of solutions bifurcating from the solution of trivial amplitude. Here, we discuss some of the details of these methods.

A.1. **Cosine Collocation Method.** Solutions φ of (2.4) that are even 2π-periodic may be naturally expanded in a Fourier cosine basis as

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi}(n) \cos(nx), \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\widehat{\varphi}(n) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(x)\, dx & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi} \displaystyle\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(x) \cos(nx)\, dx & \text{if } n \geqslant 1. \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

To approximate φ, we first truncate the Fourier series (A.1) to $N \in \mathbb{N}$ terms:

$$\varphi_N(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \widehat{\varphi}(n) \cos(nx).$$

Recalling that φ is to be even, for each $n = 0, 1, \dots N-1$ the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\varphi}(n)$ may be approximated by discretizing $[0, \pi]$ into $N+1$ subintervals

$$0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{N-1} < x_N < \pi,$$

where $x_m = \dfrac{(2m-1)\pi}{2N}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are the so-called *collocation points*, and applying midpoint quadrature. This gives the approximation

$$\widehat{\varphi}(n) \approx \widehat{\varphi}_N(n) := w(n) \sum_{m=1}^{N} \varphi_N(x_m) \cos(n x_m), \tag{A.3}$$

with

$$w(n) = \begin{cases} 1/N & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 2/N & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1 \end{cases}$$

yielding the discrete cosine representation of $\varphi_N(x)$:

$$\varphi_N(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w(n) \cos(n x_m) \cos(nx) \right) \varphi_N(x_m).$$

Moreover, the action of $\mathcal{K}$ on φ may be approximated via

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}\varphi(x) \approx \mathcal{K}_N \varphi_N(x) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \widehat{\mathcal{K}\varphi_N}(n) \cos(nx) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{\tanh(n)}{n} \, w(n) \cos(n x_m) \cos(nx) \right) \varphi_N(x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Enforcing the profile equation (2.3) at each of the collocation points x_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and replacing φ and $\mathcal{K}\varphi$ with their respective approximations φ_N and $\mathcal{K}_N\varphi_N$, we have

$$\varphi_N(x_i) \left(c - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_N(x_i) \right) (c - \varphi_N(x_i)) - \mathcal{K}_N \varphi_N(x_i) = 0,$$

a nonlinear system of N equations in the $N+1$ unknowns c, $\varphi_N(x_1)$, $\varphi_N(x_2), \dots, \varphi_N(x_N)$. For convenience, let

$$\varphi_N^i := \varphi_N(x_i), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_N \varphi_N^i := \mathcal{K}_N \varphi_N(x_i),$$

and for $y := (c, \varphi_N^1, \varphi_N^2, \dots, \varphi_N^N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, define $f(y) : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$f(y) := (f_1(y), \dots, f_N(y)), \quad f_i(y) := \varphi_N^i \left(c - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_N^i \right) \left(c - \varphi_N^i \right) - \mathcal{K}_N \varphi_N^i. \tag{A.4}$$

Using the local bifurcation formulas in Proposition 5.1 and a numerical continuation algorithm, we will solve the nonlinear equation

$$f(y) = 0$$

to obtain wavespeeds c and points $\varphi_N^i = \varphi_N(x_i)$ on the corresponding approximate profile wave.

A.2. **Continuation by the Pseudo-Arclength Method.** For a given $f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}^N$, consider the problem of finding points on the curve defined by $f(y) = 0$. Given a point $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ such that $f(y_0) = 0$ and an initial unit tangent direction $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, one can find another point y_1 such that $f(y_1) = 0$ via a predictor-corrector method known as the *pseudo-arclength* method. This method is outlined in the following three steps:

(1) For a *step size* h, extrapolate from y_0 along the tangent direction z_0 to form the predictor $y_0^p := y_0 + hz_0$.

(2) From y_0^p, correct the extrapolation by projecting onto the curve $f(y) = 0$ in the direction orthogonal to z_0. That is, solve for $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ in the following nonlinear system of $N + 1$ equations in $N + 1$ unknowns:

$$\begin{cases} f(y_1) = 0 \\ z_0 \cdot (y_1 - y_0^p) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This step may be accomplished, for example, by Newton's method.

(3) Obtain a suitable tangent direction z_1 at y_1 by solving for z_1 in the following system of $N + 1$ equations and $N + 1$ unknowns:

$$\begin{cases} Df(y_1)z_1 = 0 \\ z_0 \cdot z_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here, the first equation ensures z_1 is tangent to $f(y) = 0$ at y_1, while the second equation guarantees the angle between z_0 and z_1 is acute, ensuring a consistent orientation of the tangent vectors.

Note that resulting z_1 above will not be of unit length and should be normalized before iterating the method.

The above algorithm can be iterated to continue from a point y_k on the curve to another point y_{k+1} such that $f(y_{k+1}) = 0$. See Figure 5 for a graphical illustration of the method.

To apply the pseudo-arclength method to our problem, we fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small and use the local bifurcation formulas for $c(\varepsilon)$ and $\varphi(x; \varepsilon)$ provided in Proposition 5.1 to form

$$y_0^* := (c(\varepsilon_0), \vec{\varphi}_N(\varepsilon_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1},$$

where $\vec{\varphi}_N = (\varphi_N^1, \ldots, \varphi_N^N) := (\varphi(x_1; \varepsilon_0), \ldots, \varphi(x_N; \varepsilon_0))$ and the $x_i = \dfrac{(2i-1)\pi}{2N}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ are the collocation points on $[0, \pi]$. Moreover, since the local bifurcation curve is parametrized by ε, we compute the tangent direction to the local bifurcation curve at y_0^* by differentiating with respect to ε at ε_0



FIGURE 5. Illustration of the pseudo-arclength method: given y_k such that $f(y_k) = 0$, the method computes a point y_{k+1} such that $f(y_{k+1}) = 0$ and a consistently-oriented tangent direction z_{k+1} at y_{k+1}.

and normalizing to unit length:

$$\widetilde{z}_0 := \left(c'(\varepsilon_0),\, \frac{\partial \vec{\varphi}_N}{\partial \varepsilon}(\varepsilon_0) \right), \quad z_0 := \frac{\widetilde{z}_0}{|\widetilde{z}_0|},$$

where

$$c'(\varepsilon_0) = \frac{3\varepsilon_0}{4}\left[-\frac{1}{c_k} + 3c_k \left(\frac{1}{c_k^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{2(c_k^2 - c_{2k}^2)} \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{\varphi}_N}{\partial \varepsilon}(\varepsilon_0) = (\cos(x_1),\, \cos(x_2), \ldots, \cos(x_N)).$$

Now, y_0^* does not necessarily satisfy $f(y_0^*) = 0$, hence we initially solve $f(y) = 0$ via Newton's method using y_0^* as an initial guess to obtain y_0 such that $f(y_0) = 0$. For small ε_0, this y_0 will be close to y_0^* with the tangent direction at y_0^* being a sufficiently adequate approximation of the tangent direction at y_0. Thus we will use these y_0 and z_0 to seed the pseudo-arclength method, with $f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by (A.4).

The bifurcation diagram in Figure 2 was generated taking $N = 512$. Note the monotonicity of the wave profile, guaranteed by Lemma 4.3, allows us to approximate the waveheight as

$$\text{waveheight} = \varphi(0) - \varphi(\pi) \approx \varphi_N(x_1) - \varphi_N(x_N),$$

which is plotted against the corresponding wavespeed in Figure 2. We continued to run the pseudo-arclength method so long as $\phi_N(x_1) \approx \phi(0)$, defined above, does not exceed the value γ.

Though it requires more computation than a more-traditional parameter continuation method, the pseudo-arclength method excels when generating bifurcation diagrams containing a turning point, as occurs near the top of

the curve plotted in Figure 2. In parameter continuation, one continues the collocation method by manually stepping the values of the parameter, which is problematic near turning points where the parametrization fails to be a function of the wavespeed parameter. This difficulty can sometimes be sidestepped by switching parametrizations near the turning point. However, the pseudo-arclength method is more robust in the sense that one does not have to manually decide how to step the parameter; the method computes the parameter and collocation values simultaneously.

Ideally, for solutions computed by the above continuation algorithm, one would run a time-evolution to ensure that the approximated profiles persist over multiples of the temporal period. However, a time-evolution analysis failed due to instabilities stemming from the existence of spectrum in the right-half plane. See [7] for further details regarding a numerical computation of the spectrum for this model, as well as that of other bidirectional Whitham models.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Sandra Pott and Atanas Stefanov for useful conversations, in particular regarding appropriate function spaces for solutions of the equation (2.4).

References

[1] C. Amick, L. Fraenkel, and J. Toland, *On the Stokes conjecture for the wave of extreme form*, Acta Math., 148 (1982), pp. 193–214.
[2] A. Arnesen, *Non-uniform dependence on initial data for equations of Whitham type*, (2016). arxiv:1602.00250.
[3] R. Bhatia, *Positive definite matrices*, Princeton University Press, 2007.
[4] O. Blasco and S. Pott, *Operator-valued dyadic BMO spaces*, J. Operator Theory, 63 (2010), pp. 333–347.
[5] G. Bruell, M. Ehrnström, and L. Pei, *Symmetry and decay of traveling wave solutions to the Whitham equation*, (2016). arxiv:1608.07944.
[6] B. Buffoni and J. F. Toland, *Analytic theory of global bifurcation. An introduction.*, Princeton University Press, 2003.
[7] K. M. Claassen, *Numerical bifurcation and spectral stability of wavetrains in bidirectional whitham models*, in preparation, (2016).
[8] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations*, Acta Math., 181 (1998), pp. 229–243.
[9] D. Deconinck and O. Trichtchenko, *High-frequency instabilities of small-amplitude solutions of Hamiltonian PDE's*, preprint, arXiv:1505.03688, (2015).
[10] M. Ehrnström, J. Escher, and L. Pei, *A note on the local well-posedness for the Whitham equation*, in Elliptic and parabolic equations, vol. 119 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 63–75.
[11] M. Ehrnström, M. Groves, and E. Wahlén, *On the existence and stability of solitary-wave solutions to a class of evolution equations of whitham type*, Nonlinearity, 25 (2012), pp. 1–34.
[12] M. Ehrnström and H. Kalisch, *Traveling waves for the Whitham equation*, Differential Integral Equations, 22 (2009), pp. 1193–1210.
[13] M. Ehrnström and H. Kalisch, *Global bifurcation for the Whitham equation*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom., 7 (2013).

[14] M. Ehrnström and E. Wahlén, *On Whitham's conjecture of a highest cusped wave for a nonlocal dispersive shallow water wave equation*. arXiv:1602.05384, 2015.
[15] V. Hur, *Breaking in the Whitham equation for shallow water waves*. arXiv:1506.04075, 2015.
[16] V. Hur and M. Johnson, *Modulational instability in the Whitham equation with surface tension and vorticity*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 129 (2015), pp. 104–118.
[17] ———, *Modulational instabiliy in the Whitham equation for water waves*, Stud. Appl. Math., 134 (2015).
[18] D. Lannes, *The Water Waves Problem. Mathematical Analysis and Asymptotics*, American Mathematical Society, 2013.
[19] R. MacKay and P. Saffman, *Stability of water waves*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 406 (1986), pp. 115–125.
[20] D. Moldabayev, H. Kalisch, and D. Dutykh, *The Whitham equation as a model for surface water waves*, Phys. D, 309 (2015), pp. 99–107.
[21] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Fourier Transforms and Fourier Transforms of Distributions*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
[22] N. Sanford, K. Kodama, J. Carter, and H. Kalisch, *Stability of traveling wave solutions to the Whitham equation*, Phys. Lett. A, 378 (2014), pp. 2100–2107.
[23] J. Saut, C. Wang, and L. Xu, *The Cauchy problem on large time for surface waves type Boussinesq systems II*. arXiv:1511.08824, 2015.
[24] J.-C. Saut and L. Xu, *Well-posedness on large time for a modified full dispersion system of surface waves*, J. Math. Phys., 53 (2012), pp. 115606, 23.
[25] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III. Nonlinear Equations*, Springer, second ed., 2011.
[26] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, John Wiley & Sons, 1999.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
E-mail address: mats.ehrnstrom@math.ntnu.no

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045 USA
E-mail address: matjohn@ku.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045 USA
E-mail address: kclaassen@ku.edu